

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uxpio.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 9786 884.552US1 Matthew A. Hayduk 09/18/2001 09/955,510 EXAMINER 06/09/2004 7590 21186 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR P.O. BOX 2938 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 2643

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. ,	09/955,510	HAYDUK, MATTHEW A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  6 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Section is FINAL.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.</li> </ul>	action is non-tinal. ce except for formal matter:	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	yn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Aporty documents have been Its (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 13-15, 16-17, 20-22, 24, 25-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Rosen et al. (US PAT: 6,014,090, hereinafter Rosen).

Regarding claim 1, Rosen discloses an application execution system, comprising: a position monitoring module (132, fig. 1), a mobile element (130, fig. 1) associated with a position capable of being monitored by a position monitoring module (col. 2 lines 57-67, col. 3 lines 1-12), the mobile element having a memory including a set of user service preferences including a first service preference (col. 3 lines 57-61, col. 5 lines 59-67, col. 6 lines 1-4), a service broadcaster (reads on 110/120, fig. 1) capable of being communicatively coupled to the mobile element and broadcasting a second service preference to the mobile element and a comparator module communicatively coupled to the mobile element to compare the first and second service preferences (note: comparator is implicit in the sense that user of mobile receives only resource address of service preferences satisfying geographic location and user profile, col. 8 lines 56-59, col. 6 lines 5-33).

Regarding claim 13, Rosen discloses a mobile element, comprising: a position monitoring module (132, fig. 1) capable of monitoring a position associated with the mobile element (130, fig. 1, col. 2 lines 57-67, col. 3 lines 1-12), a first memory including

Art Unit: 2643

a first service preference, the memory capable of receiving a second service preference determined by the position (col. 3 lines 57-61, col. 5 lines 59-67, col. 6 lines 1-4), and a comparator module communicatively coupled to the memory to compare the first and second service preferences (note: comparator is implicit in the sense that user of mobile receives only resource address of service preferences satisfying geographic location and user profile, col. 8 lines 56-59, col. 6 lines 5-33).

Regarding claim 16, Rosen discloses a apparatus, comprising: a processor in (120, fig. 1), a memory (122, fig. 1) coupled to the processor for receiving a position and a first service preference associated with a mobile element (130, fig. 1), a memory coupled to the processor including a second service preference associated with the position (col. 3 lines 57-61, col. 5 lines 59-67, col. 6 lines 1-4, ), and an application associated with the second service preference (col. 6 lines 5-33, col. 8 lines 56-59).

Regarding claim 20, Rosen discloses a method of executing an application, comprising: determining a position of a mobile element (130, fig. 1, col. 2 lines 57-67, col. 3 lines 1-12), and selecting a second service preference associated with the application according to the position and a first service preference (col. 5 lines 59-67, col. 6 lines 1-33, col. 8 lines 56-59).

Regarding claim 25, Rosen discloses a computer readable medium having program instructions stored thereon for implementing, when executed by a digital processing device, a method for executing an application, the method comprising: determining position of the a mobile element (130, fig. 1, col. 2 lines 57-67, col. 3 lines 1-12), selecting a second service preference associated with the application according

Art Unit: 2643

to position and a first service preference retained in the mobile element (col. 5 lines 59-67, col. 6 lines 1-33, col. 8 lines 56-59).

Regarding claims 2-6, 8-12, 14-15, 17, 21-22, 24, 26, Rosen further teaches the following: position-monitoring module includes a software program (this is implicit in as much as the reference teaches GPS receiver and GPS satellite system for specifying the geographic location of the mobile communication device: col. 3 lines 8-12), comparator module resides in the service broadcaster (note: comparator is implicit in the sense that user of mobile receives only resource address of service preferences satisfying geographic location and user profile, col. 8 lines 56-59, col. 6 lines 5-33), global positioning system receiver communicatively coupled to the position monitoring module (fig. 1 col. 3 lines 8-12), the mobile element includes a memory, and wherein the service broadcaster includes an application with the associated second service preference (col. 3 lines 57-61, col. 5 lines 59-67, col. 6 lines 1-4, col. 8 lines 56-59), application is downloaded to the memory when the first and second service preferences are determined to be related by the comparator module (note: comparator is implicit in the sense that user of mobile receives only resource address of service preferences satisfying geographic location and user profile, col. 8 lines 56-59, col. 6 lines 5-33), mobile element is a cellular telephone (col. 3 lines 42-47), second service preference is hotel list file (col. 5 lines 59-67, col. 6 lines 1-2), plurality of list files related to the set of user preferences is broadcast to the mobile element, plurality of list files is formatted as a selection list, selection list includes a selected number of items determined by the position (col. 5 lines 50-67, col. 6 lines 1-33), broadcasting the second service

Art Unit: 2643

preference to the mobile element, requesting the broadcast of the application, and broadcasting the application to the mobile element for downloading and execution by the mobile element (col. 6 lines 5-44), storing the first service preference in the mobile element (col. 5 lines 59-67, col. Lines 1-4), second service preference is a hotel list file (col. 5 lines 59-66).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosen in view of Roundtree (US PAT: 6,640,098 filed 9-8-2000).

Regarding claim 7, Rosen does not teach the following: mobile element is a personal Internet client.

However, Roundtree discloses a system for obtaining service related information for local interactive wireless devices which teaches the following: mobile element is a personal Internet client (col. 5 lines 37-42).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Rosen's system to provide for the following: mobile element is a personal Internet client as this arrangement would facilitate accessing information from the internet, thus enhancing user choices for obtaining information.

Art Unit: 2643

5. Claims 18-19, 23, 27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosen in view of Bar et al. (US PAT: 6,456,852, filed 10-10-1997, hereinafter Bar).

Regarding claims 18-19, 23, 27, Rosen does not teach the following: a memory for receiving a set of capabilities associated with the mobile element, application is not downloaded to the mobile element if the set of capabilities associated with the mobile element is not in accordance with a set of application requirements associated with the application, sending a set of capabilities associated with the mobile element to a service broadcaster, and refraining from broadcasting the application to the mobile element if the set of capabilities associated with the mobile element is not in accordance with a set of application requirements associated with the application.

However, Bar discloses internet distributed real time wireless location database which teaches the following: sending/broadcasting information to the user from a database depending upon the capability associated with a user device (fig. 1, col. 5 lines 42-65).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Rosen's system to provide for the following: a memory for receiving a set of capabilities associated with the mobile element, application is not downloaded to the mobile element if the set of capabilities associated with the mobile element is not in accordance with a set of application requirements associated with the application, sending a set of capabilities associated with the mobile element to a service broadcaster, and refraining from broadcasting the application to the mobile element if the set of capabilities associated with the mobile element is

Art Unit: 2643

of application requirements associated with the application a this arrangement would provide flexibility to the user for receiving information from a server in a form suitable for user device, thus providing versatility for obtaining information suitable for user needs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00; every other F Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643